

NC-509 G-L-C Continuum of Care
Review Committee Public Announcement
September 15, 2017

Subject: Project Ranking for Collaborative Application Priority Listing Component

Agency	Name/Type of Project	Component Type	CoC Ranking
UWGC	HMIS	HMIS	1
Partners	Rental Assistance	PH	2
Family Promise	Housing First	PH	3
	Housing First expansion	PH	n/a
Family Promise	Housing First 2	PH	4
	Housing First 2 expansion	PH	n/a
Family Promise	Second Chance	PH	5
Tier 1 (94%)	\$762,450		
<i>Family Promise</i>	<i>Second Chance expansion</i>	<i>PH</i>	<i>n/a</i>
Tier 2 (6%)	\$48,667.00		

Per the published timeline:

- **August 7-8, 2017-Thresholds were reviewed by the Review Committee Chair & Collaborative Applicant**
- **August 11, 2017- Mandatory Project Orientation held**
- **August 22,2017-Review Committee orientation held**
- **August 29th through Sept 1, 2017 Project Applications & thresholds were reviewed on their own merits** with respect to the comprehensive description of the services that the Review Committee was able to ascertain from the face value of the applications against HUD priorities as explained in the 2017 NOFA.
- **September 5, 2017- all CoC NOFA Project Applicants were asked to schedule a follow up discussion with the Review Committee** *All CoC Nofa Project Applicants were invited to a follow up discussion with the review committee on Tuesday, September 5, 2017. Not all accepted this offer.*
- **September 6, 2017-Project Applicants notified of individual ranking and score rejected applicant notified of appeal opportunity**
- **September 8, 2017-Appeals were scheduled to be heard by the Executive Committee of any rejected projects.** *The Review Committee rejected two applications, and the reasons for the rejection of these project applications were explained in an email to the applicant on September 6, 2017. The review committee made an offer again to meet with the applicant on September 8, 2017, at 1pm to hear an appeal. However, no appeal was requested only an e-mail received 11:51 am on September 8, 2017 with insufficient comments/answers to the committee's questions and concerns leaving them outstanding. Therefore, the Review Committee's final decision was to reject both applications for the FY17 competition.*

For the Gaston-Cleveland-Lincoln Continuum, this year's successful review and ranking process highlights HUD Priorities and provides necessary dialog for growth within our tri-county CoC.

Projects that have been reviewed and ranked for FY17 funding now have the opportunity to develop stronger scoring standards for future applications. This process has provided a better conversation for the Continuum moving forward into the 2018 Funding year.

Thank you for your interest.